BΥ - - Case 1:17-cr-00548-JMF Document 963 Filed 10/21/22 Page 1 of 3

Sudge Jesse M. Furman M.S. District Judge

Madérie 6 **SDNY PRO** SE OFFICE 1027 OCT 19 PM 2: 32

Oct. 11, 2022

Southern District of INY 40 Foley Square New Yorks NY 10007

RE: U.S. u Schulte, 53 17 (R 548 (JMF)

Dear Judge Furman:

I write to Mform the Court of a conflict-of-interest with my Current counsel, to request assignment of new counsel, and to firmly State on the record once again my request for a Speedy trial on the CP Charges.

First, I have been trying to redeem my constitutional right to a Speedy trial since I was first arrested in August 2017. Since July I have repeatedly informed my coursel not to waive any time index the Speedy Trial Act, to inform you of my request for a speedy trial, to request substitute out counsel for someone available for trial, and ultimately proceed to a speedy trial. My attorney refuses to do so, Claiming to have a full workload. This constitutes a clear conflict-of-interest. because I want a speedy trial whereas she wants me to sit in a concentration Camp another year so she can try the case. Regardless after nonths of dispute, there are now irreunciliable differences and I request assignment of attorney(s) who can alequately represent me in the coming months for a Speedy trial. If the court refises to provide me consult have never been granted new counsel by my own request) consistent with the right to a speedy trial then I have no choice but to move for selfrepresentation.

I also want to inform the Court that the waiver made by south

Case 1:17-cr-00548-JMF pocument 963 Filed 10/21/22 Page 2 of 3

White-of-interest and the fact that there is no provision under

the fact that allows either counsel or a Court to exclude time simply
because the attorney is busy—induced, this is precisely the point of the fact—

to ensure defendants and the public are not reglected by the system.

To be clear, I have always maintained my request for a speedy trial pursuant to both the Speedy Trial Act and the Sixth Amendment for the concherges. I do so mue again for the record.

Finally, I understand from cansel that the government is absurably claiming that, after 90 days examining my discovery laptop, and notwithstanding the previous update that they were finished with the exam busides the encypted drive, that they have magically stumbled upon a horde of child pointegraphy. I simply ask that the Court direct the wall team to provide the defense with a complete file listing of these assertable illicit files. Including the Confiscation of all my discovery drives and banning me from the SCIF (which they impossibly assert the CP was explitable from notwithstanding the dual Mushus/FBI is autch, strip search, metal detector, x-ray carry search, etc.), the court should hirect the disclosure of all Search Warrant affidants to the beforse (in abilition to the punish rents, there is no thing more they and possibly search from me, no cooperature or witnesses, indeed no reason to continue histing them).

10/11/22

Q.S. Paper is blue because MDL no linger providing paper to write on Respectfully Submitted,

Dosh Schulte

A

らいとうなべると

LEGAL MAIL MY CACH SOUN PERSON

PATHAL U.S. V. Schultz, S3 17 GR STROTMED Pr. Se Intake Office U.S. District Court SDNY 500 Per 1 Street New York NY 10007

Zersa 19 PH 2:

Josh Shutte 479471054

MDL P.O. Box 324002 Brokyn, NY 11232